## Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

GARY WANG,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff,

v.

EHANG HOLDINGS LIMITED, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 20-cy-00569-BLF

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO **DISMISS** 

In this employment-related dispute, Plaintiff Gary Wang brings four claims against Defendants EHang Holdings Limited ("EHang Holdings"), Guangzhou EHang Intelligent Technology Co., LTD. ("EHang Intelligent Technology"), Huazhi Hu, Derrick Yifang Xiong, Shang-wen Hsiao, and Richard Jian Liu (collectively, "Defendants"). Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss Mr. Wang's breach of contract, unpaid wages, and unfair competition claim ("UCL"). See Mot., ECF 45. The Court DENIES this motion as to Mr. Wang's breach of contract and unpaid wages claims and GRANTS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND the motion as to Mr. Wang's unfair competition claim.

As a preliminary matter, Defendants' argument that this Court lacks personal jurisdiction fails because it has been waived. Defendants needed to raise this defense in their prior motion to dismiss, but they did not do so. See American Ass'n of Naturopathic Physicians v. Hayhurst, 227 F.3d 1104, 1106 (9th Cir. 2000) ("[a] fundamental tenet of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is that certain defenses under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 must be raised at the first available opportunity or, if they are not, they are forever waived."). This defense has been waived.

The Court finds that Mr. Wang has adequately plead his breach of contract and unpaid wages claims against both parties. Regarding Defendant EHang GZ, the Court finds that Mr.

Wang has adequately plead that Ehang Intelligent Technology, as stated on his contract, is the
same company as Guangzhou E Hang Intelligent Technology Co., Ltd. Am. Compl. $\P\P$ 13-15, ECF
40. As to the unpaid wages claim under California Labor Code §§ 201, 203 et seq, Defendants'
only argument is that Mr. Wang has failed to adequately allege that he was employed by EHang
Intelligent Technology. Mot. 13. Because the Court has found that Mr. Wang has adequately plead
this, the motion to dismiss the unpaid wages claim and breach of contract claim against EHang
Intelligent Technology is DENIED.

The Court also finds that Mr. Wang has adequately plead both claims against Defendant EHang Holdings under an agency theory at this stage of the case. Am. Compl. ¶¶ 12, 19-22, 29. When considering a motion to dismiss, the Court "accept[s] factual allegations in the complaint as true and construe[s] the pleadings in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party." *Manzarek* v. St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co., 519 F.3d 1025, 1031 (9th Cir. 2008). Here, Mr. Wang alleges that he was assured by executives of EHang Holdings (who were also executives of EHang Intelligent Technology) that the shares promised in the employment agreement were restricted stock units in EHang Holdings. Accordingly, the motion to dismiss the unpaid wages claim and breach of contract claim against EHang Holdings is DENIED.

The Court will GRANT Defendants' motion to dismiss Mr. Wang's UCL claim. Although this order is not based on Defendants' argument in their motion, the Court sua sponte requested that parties address the Ninth Circuit's recent ruling in *Sonner v. Premier Nutrition Corp.*, 971 F.3d 834, 844 (9th Cir. 2020). *See* Order, ECF 52. In *Sonner*, the Ninth Circuit made it clear that a plaintiff "must establish that [he] lacks an adequate remedy at law before securing equitable restitution for past harm under the UCL." *Sonner*, 971 F.3d at 844. Mr. Wang acknowledged that he has not done that here, but he requests leave to amend.

Based on the foregoing the Court DENIES the motion to dismiss the breach of contract and unpaid wages claims and GRANTS the motion to dismiss as to the UCL claim with leave to amend. Mr. Wang must file an amended complaint, along with a redlined version, by February 4, 2021.

## Case 5:20-cv-00569-BLF Document 53 Filed 01/21/21 Page 3 of 3

United States District Court Northern District of California

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2021

BETH LABSON FREEMAN United States District Judge